UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of Michigan

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
KIM JINIL		Case Number: 11-30486
	Defendant	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.		
Part I—Findings of Fact		
or loca	l offense that would have been a fe rime of violence as defined in 18 U offense for which the maximum se	described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state deral offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). Intended is life imprisonment or death. In of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
§ 3	3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable s	defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. tate or local offenses.
☐ (2) The of ☐ (3) A period	fense described in finding (1) was od of not more than five years has o	committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
(4) Finding	offense described in finding (1). gs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a sof (an) other person(s) and the com	rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the munity. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
Alternative Findings (A)		
☐ for	r which a maximum term of impris-	e defendant has committed an offense onment of ten years or more is prescribed in
(2) The de		ption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure ed and the safety of the community. Alternative Findings (B)
	is a serious risk that the defendant is a serious risk that the defendant	
<u> </u>		and the second s
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention		
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by derance of the evidence that		
CONTINUE ON PAGE 2		
to the extent processing reasonable opportunity to the extent processing to the extent processin	ant is committed to the custody of the acticable, from persons awaiting our private consultation with the private consultation with the consultation with	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention e Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, r serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a th defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the is facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
Sep	tember 12, 2011	s/ Mona K. Majzoub
	Date	Signature of Judge
MONA K. MAJZOUB - UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Name and Title of Judge		
Name and Little of Judge		

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

USA V KIM JINIL 11-30486

PAGE 2

Defendant is a South Korean national and citizen who is charged with illegal re-entry into the United States of America after having been previously deported three times after convictions of illegal entry/re-entry into this country. There is an INS detainer currently active on the Defendant.

By way of history, in 1995 Defendant was convicted of alien smuggling here, was sentenced to three months in jail, two months supervision, and was ultimately deported in February, 1996. He was warned not to attempt re-entry into the country without permission of the legal authorities.

In 1999 Defendant illegally re-entered the United States again. He was convicted of illegal re-entry in 2000 and in September 2000 was again deported with warnings not to re-enter illegally.

In September, 2011 Defendant attempted entry into the United States through Detroit's Metropolitan Airport under a Visa/Waiver program between South Korea and the United States. However, in applying for this program, Defendant allegedly made false statements, and failed to mention his previous (3) deportations, his prior criminal convictions, and his previously denied visa applications. Additionally, it is alleged that Defendant made false statements to the Inspector in that he 1) denied ever having been arrested anywhere in the world; denied that he had been arrested in Korea for forgery and uttering, and denied all of his previous deportations. Additionally Defendant failed to mention that he had been convicted of alien smuggling.

Defendant has previously used the alias "John Obu Jim, DOB 11/18/56". (Defendant's actual date of birth is 7/26/55).

The government argues that detention is mandatory under the Homeland Security policies which dictate that any person convicted of an aggravated felony will be detained. The government further argues that under the Immigration and Naturalization Act language (Sec. 8 USC 1231) and under Sec. 241 and Sec. 236, detention is mandatory.

Defendant proffered no argument on his behalf.

The Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that this Defendant poses a risk of flight, and that there are no conditions or combination of conditions that would assure his appearance in court. The court further finds that Defendant's previous conviction for alien smuggling renders him a danger to the community. Defendant is therefore Ordered detained.

16 K - ¹3 . . .